## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., and LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP (lead case)

Case No. 2:14-cv-912- JRG-RSP (consolidated)

**Jury Trial Demanded** 

## JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DISPUTED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

In accordance with the Court's December 19, 2014 Order, Plaintiff Core Wireless Licensing S.à.r.l. (Core Wireless) and Defendants LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, LG), hereby move the Court to resolve and enter a proposed Docket Control Order. The parties have met and conferred several times, but have reached an impasse with regard to the trial date for these cases, which affects the timing of all of the intermediate dates. Core Wireless proposes a schedule keeping the trial and *Markman* hearing dates provided by the Court. LG proposes that the Court consolidate these cases and/or align the corresponding schedules with the two earlier cases filed by Core Wireless against Apple, namely Civil Action Nos. 6:14-cv-00751 and 5:14-cv-00752, both pending before this Court as requested in LG's Motion to Consolidate and Amend Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 35). Alternatively, should the Court not grant consolidation or alignment, LG proposes a schedule that extends each of the Court's proposed dates by approximately 90 days.

Accordingly, there is virtually no overlap between the dates in the schedules each party has proposed. The chart below sets forth each of the parties' proposals.

Court's Sample DCO	Core Wireless's Proposal	LG's Proposal	Event
March 14, 2016	Monday, March 14, 2016	June 20, 2016	*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Rodney Gilstrap
February 5, 2016	Friday, February 5, 2016	May 20, 2016  – 9:00 a.m. before Judge John Love	*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Roy Payne
January 27, 2016	Wednesday, January 27, 2016	May 10, 2016	*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer  The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions in limine.  The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.
January 25, 2016	Tuesday, January 26, 2016 <sup>1</sup>	May 6, 2016	*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, and Responses to Motions in Limine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Core Wireless proposes moving this date from a Monday to a Tuesday for good cause in view of the volume and number of documents typically involved with such filings to lessen the burden on the staff of parties' counsel to prepare such voluminous filings over the weekend for a Monday filing.

Monday, January 18, 2016	April 29, 2016	*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting.  If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and email the Court Reporter, Shelly Holmes, at shelly_holmes@txed.uscourts.gov.
Tuesday, January 19, 2016	April 22, 2016	File Motions in Limine  The parties shall limit their motions in limine to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.
Thursday, January 14, 2016	April 22, 2016	Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
Thursday, January 7, 2016	April 15, 2016	Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
Tuesday, December 22, 2015	April 1, 2016	Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof
Friday, December 11, 2015	March 4, 2016	Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery
Monday, November 23, 2015	March 4, 2016	*File Dispositive Motions or Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including Daubert Motions)  No dispositive motion or motion to strike expert testimony (including a Daubert motion) may be filed after
	Tuesday, January 19, 2016  Thursday, January 14, 2016  Thursday, January 7, 2016  Tuesday, December 22, 2015  Friday, December 11, 2015	Tuesday, January 19, 2016  Thursday, January 14, 2016  April 22, 2016  Thursday, January 7, 2016  April 15, 2016  Tuesday, December 22, 2015  April 1, 2016  Friday, December 11, 2015  March 4, 2016

November 2, 2015	Thursday, November 12, 2015	February 12, 2016	Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses
October 12, 2015	Thursday, October 15, 2015	January 22, 2016	Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof
October 12, 2015	Friday, October 2, 2015	January 22, 2016	Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery
September 28, 2015	Monday, September 28, 2015	January 8, 2016	*Deadline to File Letter Briefs Regarding Dispositive Motions
	Not later than 50 days after service by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling		Comply with P.R. 3-6(a)(2) (Amended Invalidity Contentions)
	Not later than 30 days after service by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling		Comply with P.R. 3-6(a)(1) (Amended Infringement Contentions)
September 15, 2015	Monday, September 15, 2015	December 28, 2015	Deadline to Complete Mediation  The parties are responsible for ensuring that a mediation report is filed no later than 5 days after the conclusion of mediation. See L.R. App. H.
September 8, 2015	Tuesday, September 8, 2015	December 21, 2015	Comply with P.R. 3-7 (Opinion of Counsel Defenses)
August 18, 2015	Tuesday, August 18, 2015	December 1, 2015 at 9:00 am before Judge John Love	*Claim Construction Hearing – 9:00 a.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b> before Judge Roy Payne
August 4, 2015	Tuesday, August 4, 2015	November 17, 2015	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
July 28, 2015	Tuesday, July 28, 2015	November 10, 2015	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) (Reply Claim Construction Brief)

July 21, 2015	Tuesday, July 21, 2015	November 3, 2015	Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) (Responsive Claim Construction Brief)
July 7, 2015	Tuesday, July 7, 2015	October 27, 2015	Comply with P.R. 4-5(a) (Opening Claim Construction Brief) and Submit Technical Tutorials (if any)
	Tuesday, July 7, 2015	October 27, 2015	Deadline to Substantially Complete Document Production and Exchange Privilege Logs
July 7, 2015	July 7, 2015	Getobel 27, 2013	Counsel are expected to make good faith efforts to produce all required documents as soon as they are available and not wait until the substantial completion deadline.
June 23, 2015	Tuesday, June 23, 2015	October 13, 2015	Comply with P.R. 4-4 (Deadline to Complete Claim Construction Discovery)
June 16, 2015	Tuesday, June 16, 2015	October 6, 2015	File Response to Amended Pleadings
June 2, 2015	Tuesday, June 2, 2015	September 22, 2015	*File Amended Pleadings  It is not necessary to seek leave of Court to amend pleadings prior to this deadline unless the amendment seeks to assert additional patents.
May 26, 2015	Tuesday, May 26, 2015	September 15, 2015	Comply with P.R. 4-3 (Joint Claim Construction Statement)
May 5, 2015	Tuesday, May 5, 2015	August 25, 2015	Comply with P.R. 4-2 (Exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions)
April 14, 2015	Tuesday, April 14, 2015	August 2, 2015	Comply with P.R. 4-1 (Exchange Proposed Claim Terms)
	Friday, March 13, 2015		Comply with P.R. 3-4(a) (Defendants' production of source code)
March 3, 2015	Friday, March 13, 2015	July 2, 2015	Comply with P.R. 3-3 & 3-4 (Invalidity Contentions)

January 27, 2015	Friday, February 27, 2015		Join Additional Parties
February 10, 2015	Tuesday, February 10, 2015	February 10, 2015	*File Proposed Protective Order and Comply with Paragraphs 1 & 3 of the Discovery Order (Initial and Additional Disclosures)  The Proposed Protective Order shall be filed as a separate motion with the caption indicating whether or not the proposed order is opposed in any part.
February 3, 2015	Tuesday, February 3, 2015	February 3, 2015	*File Proposed Docket Control Order and Proposed Discovery Order  The Proposed Docket Control Order and Proposed Discovery Order shall be filed as separate motions with the caption indicating whether or not the proposed order is opposed in any part.

Attached as Exhibit A is a Proposed Docket Control Order reflecting Core Wireless's proposal and attached as Exhibit B is a Proposed Docket Control Order reflecting LG's alternative proposal, should the Court not grant LG's co-pending Motion to Consolidate (Dkt. No. 35). A brief explanation of Core Wireless' position is set forth below. As the Court indicated was permitted during the January 20, 2015 Case Management Conference, LG has stated its position and showing of good cause in its concurrently filed Motion to Consolidate and Amend Docket Control Order. (Dkt. No. 35).

## **Core Wireless's Position**

Core Wireless is satisfied with the schedule proposed by the Court and proposes only minor modifications to dates that may be altered without showing good cause. Core Wireless's

proposal accepts the trial date and *Markman* hearing date proposed by the Court and modifies only one date that requires showing good cause by proposing moving it back a single day as noted above and in Exhibit A.

Core Wireless understands that LG desires to consolidate this case in some manner with two suits filed by Core Wireless against Apple that are pending in the Tyler Division of this District, and LG filed a motion to that effect just hours before this filing. Core Wireless opposes LG's consolidation request and LG's proposed schedule in this case. While Core Wireless will respond as soon as feasible to LG's Motion to Consolidate to further explain the reasons for its opposition, they are briefly described here. First, the LG and Apple cases, which are in separate Divisions, are not consolidated. Further, neither LG nor Apple have made a motion to transfer the cases all to Marshall or all to Tyler. A party's opposed motion for consolidation of separate cases pending in different Divisions is not good cause to fundamentally alter each of the dates provided by the Court.

Second, LG proposes its schedule in this case purportedly for the purpose of aligning the schedules between this case and the Apple cases in Tyler. However, LG's proposed schedule does not simply align the schedules of this case and the Apple cases in Tyler, but also introduces several months of unnecessary delay into all of the cases. For example, the date of the *Markman* hearing proposed by LG (December 1, 2015), is several months later than the dates set by this Court and the Court in Tyler for each of these cases (August 18, 2015 for this case and August 27 and September 3, 2015 for the Apple cases, Nos. 6:14-cv-751 and 6:14-cv-752, respectively,

pending in Tyler).<sup>2</sup> LG appears to be using its motion to consolidate the cases as a means of obtaining substantial delay in coming to a judicial resolution of this dispute.

Core Wireless believes that the Court's schedule, with the minor modifications requested by Core Wireless, is the appropriate schedule for this case. Should the Court in Tyler and in Marshall, enter any future order consolidating the cases in any manner, Core Wireless will meet and confer with the relevant parties to come to a mutually agreeable schedule consistent with whatever timeline may be set out in any consolidation order. Until then, Core Wireless respectfully requests the Court to enter its proposed Docket Control Order attached as Exhibit A. If the allegedly insurmountable discovery burdens that LG sets forth in its Motion to Consolidate come to pass, the affected party may file a motion to amend the Docket Control Order at the appropriate time.

Dated: February 3, 2015 Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Elizabeth L. DeRieux

S. Calvin Capshaw, III (Texas Bar # 03783900) Elizabeth L. DeRieux (Texas Bar # 05770585) D. Jeffrey Rambin (Texas Bar # 00791478)

CAPSHAW DERIEUX, LLP 114 E. Commerce Ave. Gladewater, TX 75647 (903) 236-9800 Telephone (903) 236-8787 Facsimile

E-mail: ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com E-mail: ederieux@capshawlaw.com E-mail: jrambin@capshawlaw.com

Henry Bunsow (CA State Bar # 60707) Brian A.E. Smith (CA State Bar # 188147) Matthew F. Greinert (CA State Bar # 239492)

By: /s/ Herbert H. Finn by permission

Kevin Sean Kudlac

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 300 West 6th Street, Ste 2050

Austin, TX 78701

Telephone: 512-320-7200 Facsimile: 512-320-7210 Email: kudlack@gtlaw.com

Richard D. Harris (Illinois Bar # 1137913) Herbert H. Finn (Illinois Bar # 6205685) Cameron M. Nelson (Illinois Bar #

6275585)

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3100

Chicago, Illinois 60601

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> While LG's Motion to Consolidate states that the Apple cases "are consolidated for scheduling" (Dkt. No. 35 at 1), the Court has set differing Markman hearing, early damages expert hearing, and dispositive motion deadline dates for each Apple case. The Scheduling Conferences in the Apple cases are set for March 18, 2015, and no proposed schedules for intermediate dates have been proposed by the parties or entered by the Court.

BUNSOW, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON LLP

351 California Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 426-4747 Facsimile: (415) 426-4744 Email: hbunsow@bdiplaw.com Email: bsmith@bdiplaw.com Email: mgreinert@bdiplaw.com

Denise M. De Mory (CA State Bar #168076) Craig Y. Allison (CA State Bar # 161175) BUNSOW, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON LLP 600 Allerton Street, Suite 101

Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 351-7248 Facsimile: (650) 351-7259 Email: ddemory@bdiplaw.com Email: callison@bdiplaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.

Telephone: (312) 456-8400 Facsimile: (312) 456-8435 Email: HarrisR@gtlaw.com Email: FinnH@gtlaw.com Email: NelsonC@gtlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS LG ELECTRONICS AND LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.SA., INC.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this 3rd day of February, 2015, with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3), or to the extent not available in that manner, counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile transmission and/or first class mail on this same date.

/s/ Elizabeth L. DeRieux
Elizabeth L. DeRieux